

IPHC-2025-AM101-PropC2

IPHC Fishery Regulations:

Application of Commercial Fishery Limits (Sect. 12) – addressing concerns regarding localized depletion around St. Matthew Island

				PREPAI	RED BY: S	SHAWN MCI	Manus (comme	ERCIAL FISHER) (10 DECI	EMBER 202	4)
Directed Commercial ⊠			Recreational □ Subsistence □		□ Non-dire	Non-directed commercial □				
All Regulatory Areas □			All Ala	ska Reg	ulatory A	\reas □	All U.S. Reg	gulatory Areas □		
2A □	2B □	2C □	3A □	3B □	4A □	4B □	4CDE ⊠			
_										

PURPOSE

To propose closing the one-way door for halibut IFQ/CDQ holders from halibut Area 4C into Area 4D North of 60 degrees North latitude and East of 174 degrees West longitude.

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

Through several years of recent fishing experience as well as supporting IPHC data, I feel that St. Matthew Island waters are facing localized depletion.

Beginning in 2005, the North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC) made a recommendation to change the IPHC Regulatory Area 4 Catch Sharing Plan and the IFQ/CDQ regulations to incorporate the NPFMC's recommendation that IPHC Regulatory Area 4C Pacific halibut IFQ or CDQ may be harvested in either IPHC Regulatory Area 4C or in IPHC Regulatory Area 4D.

At that time, the NPFMC based its decision(s) on presentations such as "Area 4D has approximately ten times more fishing grounds at 5,605 square nautical miles than Area 4C at 561 square nautical miles". However, recent IPHC data (see Appendix A) shows that nearly 70% or one million pounds of all 4CDE landings are occurring each year just off the 28 mile long (138 square mile) island of St. Matthew. Keep in mind that for the most part, only half of the 28-mile-long island supports Pacific halibut abundance.

In 2005, the IPHC noted "that the ratio of halibut harvest to available fishing grounds would remain much lower in Area 4D than Area 4C. Therefore, the likelihood that the localized depletion problem in Area 4C would simply be transposed to Area 4D would remain low". Given this quoted assumption, I feel the IPHC is more than culpable in what I feel is the localized depletion of halibut in St. Matthew Island waters. Therefore, I implore the IPHC to take responsibility in this matter by pushing for regulatory change at both the IPHC and NPFMC bodies with feverish haste. A lot of environmental changes have occurred in the 20 years since this assumption. Killer whale depredation has exploded exponentially to the point where the vast majority of fishing on the IPHC Regulatory Area 4D edge is nothing more than a lesson in futility. This proposal will spread some fishing concentration away from the island of St. Matthew thus reducing the amount of localized depletion.

PROPOSED REGULATORY LANGUAGE

This proposal would remove the provision under Section 12 (Application of Commercial Fishery Limits), par. 6:

12. Application of Commercial Fishery Limits

[...]

(6) Notwithstanding the fishery limits described in Section 5, the total allowable catch of Pacific halibut that may be taken in the IPHC Regulatory Area 4D directed commercial fishery is equal to the combined annual fishery limits specified for IPHC Regulatory Areas 4C and 4D. The annual IPHC Regulatory Area 4C fishery limit will decrease by the equivalent amount of Pacific halibut taken in IPHC Regulatory Area 4D in excess of the annual IPHC Regulatory Area 4D fishery limit.

SUPPORTING DATA AND OTHER INFORMATION

Appendix A provides supplementary data provided by the proposal proponent.

Link to Federal Register, Proposed Rule from 5 May 2025:

https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2005/05/05-9003/pacific-halibut-fisheries-fisheries-of-the-exclusive-economic-zone-off-alaska-individual-fishing

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Commission:

 NOTE regulatory proposal IPHC-2025-AM101-PropC2 that proposes closing the one-way door for halibut IFQ/CDQ holders from halibut Area 4C into Area 4D North of 60 degrees North latitude and East of 174 degrees West longitude.

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Supplementary data provided by the proposal proponent.



APPENDIX A SUPPLEMENTARY DATA PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSAL PROPONENT.

V = = ::	46 la malina an	4C vessels	4D total	4D vessels	St Matthew	St. Matthew	45 1	4E vessels	4CDE landings
<u>Year</u>	4C landings	<u>fishing</u>	<u>landings</u>	<u>fishing</u>	<u>landings</u>	vessels fishing	4E landings	<u>fishing</u>	<u>(summed)</u>
2018	492,845	24	824,964	34	597,486	17	95,000	27	1,412,809
2019	482,048	24	1,035,691	39	803,219	20	120,000	31	1,637,739
2020	103,803	7	1,411,823	36	1,194,025	19	93,000	18	1,608,626
2021	197,226	7	1,145,724	29	1,010,631	18	41,000	16	1,383,950
2022	374,754	7	1,176,727	29	1,049,660	19	20,000	7	1,571,481
2023	319,149	10	930,563	32	836,235	19	5,000	4	1,254,712

Year	4C Regulatory limit	4D Regulatory limit	4E Regulatory limit	4CDE Combined	% of Total limit landed 4CDE	% of all vessels fishing in 4D fishing at St Matthew	% of all 4D landings occurring at St. Matthew	% of all 4CDE landings occurring at St. Matthew
2018	752,000	752,000	196,000	1,700,000	83%	50%	72%	42%
2019	910,000	910,000	220,000	2,040,000	80%	51%	78%	49%
2020	766,000	766,000	198,000	1,730,000	93%	53%	85%	74%
2021	738,000	738,000	194,000	1,670,000	83%	62%	88%	73%
2022	920,000	920,000	220,000	2,060,000	76%	66%	89%	67%
2023	900,000	900,000	220,000	2,020,000	62%	59%	90%	67%

Source: IPHC. 2024. <u>Table IPHC-2024-TSD-038</u>: Commercial landings from St. Matthew Island and IPHC Regulatory Areas 4C/4D, Accessed [9 December 2024]. Notes:

- See metadata for description of St. Matthew area and other details. All commercial landings and limits in net lbs; 2023 landings preliminary as of January 2024.
- 4D CDQ and IFQ quota can be shifted to 4E CDQ, 4C quota can be shifted to 4D.